

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/696,535	10/29/2003	Michael George Azar	05516/147002 7821	
75	90 02/17/2006		EXAM	INER
ROSENTHAL Suite 2800	. & OSHA L.L.P.		COLLINS, GI	OVANNA M
1221 McKinney	Street		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Houston, TX 77010			3672	
			DATE MAILED: 02/17/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary 10/696,535		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Examiner Art Unit Size							
Giovanna M. Collins 3872	Office Action Summary						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address = Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Seteroise for meny by eavailable under the provision of 30 °CR 11380, in an event, nower, may a rapy be timely field If NO period for reply is appelled above, the maximum situation period will apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the making date of this communication. Fallute for yeal within the set of received period for reply will, by statute, cause he application become ABANODER(5 SI J. S. C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than times mostins after the mailing date of this communication. Fallute for yeal within the set of received period for reply will, by statute, cause he application to Economic RAPATORICS (51 J. S. C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than times mostins after the mailing date of this communication. Fallute for yeal within the set of replacement of the communication (s) filled on 30 November 2005. Status 1) ■ Responsive to communication(s) filled on 30 November 2005. 2a) ■ This action is FINAL. 2b) ■ This action is non-final. 3) ■ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ■ Claim(s) 1,3-8,11-16,18-26,28-30 and 40-47 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) ■ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5 ■ Claim(s) 1,3-8,11-16,18-26,28-30,40-44,46 and 47 is/are rejected. 7) ■ Claim(s) 45 is/are objected to by the Examiner. Claim(s) 45 is/are objected to by the Examiner. 10) ■ The drawing(s) filed on 29 October 2003 is/are: a) ■ accepted or b) ■ objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) ■ The drawing(s) filed on 29 October 2003 is/are: a) ■ accepted or b) ■ objected t	Office Action Summary		Art Unit				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of terroring by an articlate under the provisions of 37 CFR 1-13(d), in no event, however, may a next put be terroring to a refulate under the provisions of 37 CFR 1-13(d), in no event, however, may a next put be terroring date of this communication or reply is specified above, the maximum shallows pread will apply and will expire \$X\$ (\$MONTHS from the making date of this communication, 15 Page 14 Page 15 Page) and will expire \$X\$ (\$MONTHS from the making date of this communication, 15 Page 15 Pa	The MAIL INC DATE of this communication and						
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extension of time may be available under the provision of 37 CFR 1.13(b). Inn event, however, may a reply be simely fled after SX (6) MOSITIS from the mailing date of this communication. Failuble reprovision by the control of the communication of the comm	Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 November 2005. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1,3-8,11-16,18-26,28-30 and 40-47 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1,3-8,11-16,18-26,28-30,40-44,46 and 47 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 29 October 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheat(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Indiana Patent Application (PTO-152) Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-992) 2) Notice of Indiana Patent Application (PTO-152)	WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
2a) This action is FINAL. 3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4 Claim(s) 1.3-8.11-16.18-26.28-30 and 40-47 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5 Claim(s) 1.3-8.11-16.18-26.28-30.40-44.46 and 47 is/are rejected. 7 Claim(s) 4.5 is/are objected to. 8 Claim(s) 4.5 is/are objected to. 8 Claim(s) 4.5 is/are objected to by the Examiner. 10 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 The drawing(s) filed on 29 October 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in aboyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Draftspersor's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/5808)	Status						
2a) This action is FINAL. 3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4 Claim(s) 1.3-8.11-16.18-26.28-30 and 40-47 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5 Claim(s) 1.3-8.11-16.18-26.28-30.40-44.46 and 47 is/are rejected. 7 Claim(s) 4.5 is/are objected to. 8 Claim(s) 4.5 is/are objected to. 8 Claim(s) 4.5 is/are objected to by the Examiner. 10 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 The drawing(s) filed on 29 October 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in aboyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Draftspersor's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/5808)	1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>30 No</u>	ovember 2005.					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1,3-8.11-16.18-26.28-30 and 40-47 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,3-8.11-16.18-26.28-30.40-44.46 and 47 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 45 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 29 October 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some *c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Pratsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This						
Al)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1.3-8.11-16.18-26.28-30 and 40-47 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 29 October 2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1 ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of Pafaperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informat Patent Application (PTO-152)	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 1,3-8,11-16,18-26,28-30,40-44,46 and 47 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) 45 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 29 October 2003 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Disposition of Claims						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 1,3-8,11-16,18-26,28-30,40-44,46 and 47 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) 45 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 29 October 2003 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	• _						
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 29	,—						
T) Claim(s) <u>45</u> is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>29 October 2003</u> is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	•						
Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 29 October 2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1 ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 1) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	6) Claim(s) 1,3-8,11-16,18-26,28-30,40-44,46 and	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 29 October 2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 1) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>45</u> is/are objected to.						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 29 October 2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 29 October 2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 1) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Application Papers						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 29 October 2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 1) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	, ,						
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	••••						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	-	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	_						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	_ , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Statement(s) (PTO-152)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not receive					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	. Attachment(s)						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)						
HODOL MAIN 1 1940	3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal P					

Art Unit: 3672

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: The specification does not disclose a matrix material is cubic born nitric crystals cemented in a compounded selected from the group consisting or carbides, borides and nitrides as recited in claim 45.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1,3-4,8-9,11,16 -19,23-24,27-28, and 40-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Fuller 4,919,220.

Referring to claim 1, Fuller discloses (fig. 6) an insert comprising a diamond impregnated bit (19, col.4, lines 30-33) and a thermally stable shearing portion comprising thermally stable polycrystalline diamond (col. 4, lines 49-52) where at least a portion of the diamond impregnated insert body and at least a portion of the thermally stable shearing portion form a leading edge of the insert.

Application/Control Number: 10/696,535

Art Unit: 3672

Referring to claims 3-4, 23-24, Fuller discloses a bonding portion (18) of tungsten carbide (col. 4, lines 5, lines 62-col. 6, line 3).

Referring to claim 8, Fuller discloses the thermally stable shearing portion is disposed on the diamond impregnated insert body post filtration (col. 3, lines 51-53).

Referring to claims 9, 17,27, Fuller discloses the shearing portion (15) forms a leading edge of the insert.

Referring to claims 11 and 28, Fuller discloses a wear portion (Fig. 6, top surface of the insert) disposed on a surface of the diamond impregnated insert body.

Referring to claim 16, Fuller discloses a bit body (fig. 1, at 10) having at least one blade (11), at least one cutting element (at 15) which comprising a diamond impregnated body (19) and a thermally stable shearing portion comprising thermally stable polycrystalline diamond (col. 4, lines 49-52) where at least a portion of the diamond impregnated insert body and at least a portion of the thermally stable shearing portion form a leading edge of the insert.

Referring to claim 18, Fuller discloses a bit body (10) and a plurality of inserts (at 15) having a diamond impregnated body (19) and a thermally stable shearing portion comprising thermally stable polycrystalline diamond (col. 4, lines 49-52) where at least a portion of the diamond impregnated insert body and at least a portion of the thermally stable shearing portion form a leading edge of the insert.

Referring to claim 19, Fuller discloses the total exposure of the insert body (19) to temperatures about 1000 F is great than a total exposure of the shearing portion (15) to temperatures about 100 F.

Referring to claim 40, Fuller discloses a method of drilling a mixed formation comprising contacting a bit with the mixed formation (col. 2, lines 12-15) where the bit comprises a bit body (10) and a plurality of inserts (at 15) having a diamond impregnated body (19) and a thermally stable shearing portion comprising thermally stable polycrystalline diamond (col. 4, lines 49-52) where at least a portion of the diamond impregnated insert body and at least a portion of the thermally stable shearing portion form a leading edge of the insert.

Referring to claims 41 and 43-44, Fuller discloses an abrasive insert body (19) having a mixture of a ultra hard material of material of diamond crystals (col.4, lines 30-33) and a less abrasion resistant matrix material of carbides (col. 3, lines 51-53) and a thermally stable shearing portion comprising thermally stable polycrystalline diamond (col. 4, lines 49-52) where at least a portion of the diamond impregnated insert body and at least a portion of the thermally stable shearing portion form a leading edge of the insert.

Referring to claim 42, Fuller discloses where the abrasive resistance of the ultra hard material (at 19) and the matrix material (col. 3, lines 51-53) varies depending of the formation compressive strength and abrasivity and the size of the ultra hard material.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Application/Control Number: 10/696,535

Art Unit: 3672

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 5-6,12-13,25-26,29-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fuller '220 in view of Siever et al. 5,279,374.

Referring to claims 5-6,12-13,25-26,29-30, Fuller does not disclose a coating on the insert body of the shearing portion. Siever teaches a tungsten carbide layer on an insert body and a shearing portion of the insert (see Fig. 3 and col. 2, lines 35-46). Siever teaches the coating helps to prevent the inserts from being lost before they fully wear out due to pockets wearing out before cones (col. 1, lines 37-42). As it would be advantageous to prevent the lost of inserts before they are fully worn, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the insert disclose by Fuller to have a tungsten carbide coating as taught by Siever.

4. Claims 7 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fuller '220 in view of Truax et al. 2001/00478891.

Referring to claims 7 and 22, Fuller does not disclose the insert body has thermally stable polycrystalline diamonds. Truax teaches an insert with thermally stable polycrystalline diamonds that enhance shearing of the formation (paragraph 0028). As it would be advantageous to enhance shearing of the formation if the cutter element wears rapidly or fractures, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the insert disclosed by Fuller to have thermally stable polycrystalline diamonds in view of the teachings of Truax.

5. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fuller '220 in view of Sung et al. 4,943,488.

Page 6

Fuller discloses the insert body comprises natural diamond (col. 3, lines 60-61) but does not disclose the diamond is coated. Sung teaches coating diamond particle in diamond impregnated articles helps to improve the retention of the diamond particle in the supporting structure (col. 4, lines 64-67). As it would be advantageous to improve the retention of the diamond particle in the insert, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the insert disclosed by Fuller to have coated diamond particles in view of the teachings of Sung.

6. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fuller '220 in view of Sung et al. 4,943,488 as applied to claim 14, and further in view of Garner '3,318,399.

Fuller, as modified, does not disclose the diamond is one carat. Garner teaches bit-using diamonds that are 1 carat in size when drilling is softer formations (col. 2, lines 42-46). As it would be advantageous to use have diamonds one carat in size when drilling softer formations, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to further modify the insert disclosed by Fuller, as modified by Sung to have diamonds one carat in size in view of the teachings of Garner.

7. Claims 20-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fuller '220 in view of Caraway et al. 6,193,000.

Application/Control Number: 10/696,535

Art Unit: 3672

Referring to claims 20-21, Fuller does not disclose the bit body is diamond impregnated tungsten carbide matrix. Caraway teaches that such bits are well known in the art (col. 4, lines 30-49). As one of ordinary skill in the art would be familiar with a bit body that is diamond impregnated tungsten carbide matrix, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify bit disclose by Fuller to have a body that is diamond impregnated tungsten carbide matrix as taught by Caraway.

8. Claim 46-47 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fuller '220 in view of Garner '399.

Fuller does not disclose the diamond concentration and size depends on the abrasivity and compressive strength of the formation. Garner teaches a drill bit with larger and lower concentration of diamonds is better when drilling a softer formation and a drill bit with a smaller larger concentration of diamonds is better when drilling harder formations (col. 2, lines 43-50). As it would be advantageous to use larger, smaller concentration of diamonds when drilling softer formations and smaller larger concentration of diamonds when drilling harder formations, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify cutting element disclosed by Fuller to have the concentration and particle size of the diamonds depend upon the abrasivity and compressive strength of the formation in view of the teachings of Garner.

Referring to claim 47, Fuller does not disclose the diamond concentration is varied. Garner teaches a drill bit with larger and lower concentration of diamonds is better when drilling a softer formation and a drill bit with a smaller larger concentration

Art Unit: 3672

of diamonds is better when drilling harder formations (col. 2, lines 43-50). As it would be advantageous when selectively vary the diamond concentration depending upon what type of formation is being drilling, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify cutting element disclosed by Fuller to vary the concentration of the diamonds in view of the teachings of Garner.

Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claim 45 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments filed 11/30/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicant argues Fuller reference discloses a diamond impregnated abrasion element that is spaced rearwardly of the cutting element and thus does not from part of the leading edge of the insert. However, in the embodiment disclosed in Fig. 6, Fuller discloses the diamonds are impregnated in the insert itself (col.4, lines 30-33) and thus a portion of the diamond impregnated insert body from a leading edge of the insert. Furthermore, the argument the cutting elements of the invention can be grind and shear a formation is moot since these features are not claimed.

Application/Control Number: 10/696,535 Page 9

Art Unit: 3672

11. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 41-44,46-47 have been considered

but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Giovanna M. Collins whose telephone number is 571-

272-7027. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30-3 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, David J. Bagnell can be reached on 571-272-6999. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

gmc

David Bagnell
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 3670

Jénnifer H. Bay Primary Examiner